



# Abusive Tax Shelters: What they look like and how to find them

**Debbie Petersen**  
**California Franchise Tax Board**

# Definition – Abusive Tax Scheme

- Generally, an abusive tax scheme is a transaction that:
  - ◆ is promoted with the promise of tax benefits
  - ◆ has predictable tax losses or tax consequences
  - ◆ has no true or correlating economic loss experienced with respect to the taxpayer's income or assets

# Definition – Abusive Tax Scheme

- Generally, an abusive tax scheme follows the literal reading of the tax statute, but applies the meaning in a manner that is inconsistent with the purpose or intent of the tax statute

## Characteristics – Abusive Tax Schemes

- Separation of income and expenses
- Use of pass-through entities
- Use of third-party accommodators
- Offshore foreign account or accommodator
- Double benefit for the same tax loss
- Conducted over a short period of time

# Definition – Economic Substance

- Court decisions require that a transaction have:
  - ◆ economic advantages other than the tax savings
  - ◆ balanced economic risks and profit potential when compared to the tax benefits
  - ◆ a business purpose separate from the tax consequences

# Definition – Business Purpose

- A transaction has a business purpose if it is engaged in for a justifiable business reason other than reducing taxes and has a potential for generating a profit

# Investor Profile

- large, constant streams of income
- substantial gains from one-time event
- income from criminal or illegal activities
- engaged in shelter activity in the past

# Common Tax Shelters

- Basis Shifting
- Charitable Remainder Trust
- Corp Owned Life Insurance (COLI)
- Lease In/Lease Out
- Lease Stripping
- Notional Principal Contract
- Inflated Basis (Son of BOSS)
  - ◆ Contingent Liability
  - ◆ Custom Adj Rate Debt
  - ◆ Digital Options
  - ◆ Loan Assumptions
  - ◆ Loan Premium
  - ◆ Short Sales
- Real Estate Invest Trust
- Regulated Invest Co.



# Shelter Fingerprints

- Schedules D and E activity
- Large short-term capital or ordinary loss
- Large gains from sale but low AGI
- Newly formed/recently dissolved entities
- Significant tax & book income difference
- Issuance of new class of stock
- Large cash outlay for fees
- Tax indifferent entities involved

# Looking for Hidden Transactions

- Tiering
- Netting

# Reporting Requirements

- Taxpayer return disclosure
- Promoter registration requirements
- Promoter maintains/provides list of investors

# Current Information Sources:

- Computer based selections & modeling
- PASS System
- Informants leads
- IRS MOU leads
- States leads
- Taxpayer amended returns (VCI)
- Audit leads

# New Information Sources:

- Tax Shelter Registration statements
- List of Investors
- Return Disclosure statements
- Tax Shelter IDRs
- MOA with States
- Promoter Audits
- Joint Audits
- Subpoenas
- New M-3/Accrual WPs

# Son of BOSS Transactions

- IRS Notice 200-44
  - ◆ Loan Premium (BLIPS)
  - ◆ Digital Options (COBRA)
- Substantially similar
  - ◆ Short Sales
- Inflated Basis – Contingent Liability

# Example: Short Sale

- Economy is slow. Stock market is declining. You still want to make a profit
- ABC Corp. is trading at \$50 a share today
- You call your broker and tell them to sell 100 shares that you do not own (short sale)
- 60 days later, ABC Corp. is trading at \$40 a share
- You call your broker and tell them to purchase 100 shares and close the sale
- You made a profit of \$1,000 even though the market was declining

# Tax Shelter Short Sale

Taxpayer has \$15,000,000 in capital gain income in 2002.

Taxpayer wishes to reduce his tax liability resulting from the capital gain and invests in a short sale transaction as follows:



# Short Sale

Individual receives \$13,000,000 in cash from short sell of US Treasury Bills and agrees to cover short sale

Partnership completes \$13,000,000 short sale by purchasing US Treasury Bills



Individual



Partnership

Individual contributes \$13,000,000 in cash and obligation to cover short sale to Partnership

Individual receives a 99% interest

Partnership liquidates

# Short Sale

|  | Taxpayer's<br>Position    | Government's<br>Position |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Basis Calculation of<br/>Partnership Interest</b> |                           |                          |
| Contribution of Cash                                 | \$13,000,000              | \$13,000,000             |
| Less: Liabilities assumed<br>by Partnership          | <u>(0)<sup>A</sup></u>    | <u>(13,000,000)</u>      |
| Partnership Basis                                    | \$13,000,000              | \$0                      |
| Total Loss   | \$13,000,000 <sup>B</sup> | \$0                      |

<sup>A</sup> Individual argues liability is contingent, thus, does not reduce basis

<sup>B</sup> Individual offsets capital gain income

# Short Sale

## Discussion Points

1. *Economic consequences* - Taxpayer has generated a huge tax loss without incurring any actual monetary loss. Taxpayer originally received \$13,000,000 in cash for the sell of Treasury bills and was required to purchase \$13,000,000 in treasury bills to close the short sale. Net \$0.

# Short Sale

2. *Taxpayer's risk* - The potential for loss was negligible considering the assets, U S Treasury bills used to conduct the transaction and the length of time this series of transactions usually take – less than 1 month.
3. *Substance over form* - Without the partnership entity, the taxpayer could not have generated such a loss.
4. *Business purpose* - Except for the tax benefits of \$3.1M, no potential for a profit comparable to the tax benefits existed.